



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/527,410 03/16/00 YANG

B EXAMINER - 0034

MICHAEL A CANTOR ESQ
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD CT 06002

MMC2/1128

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EASTHOM, K	

DATE MAILED:
2832

11/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/527,410	Applicant(s) YANG, BING LIN	
	Examiner Karl Easthom	Art Unit 2832	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2000.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☒ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 14) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 15) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 16) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.
- 17) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 18) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 19) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2832

1. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howe et al. Howe et al. discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1 where 13 and 16 comprise the sealing spacers, and the portion emanating through 13 are the leads are part thereof, with broadened tips enlarged after 24. In claim 5, the part 13 is in the form of a cylinder where the housing is cylindrical.

2. Claims 1-2, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jonassen. Jonassen discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 6 where 22 comprise the sealing spacers, and the leads are 39. The welding of claim 2 is via the solder joints 30. In claim 5, the spacers are cylindrical.

3. Claims 1-4 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kozlowski. Kozlowski discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1, 1 and 2 comprise the sealing spacers, and the leads 20, 10 emanate therethrough.. Fig. 2 discloses the cylindrical shape of claim 5. In claim 2, the melting and bonding at col. 3 meets the welding where the glass is heated. In claim 3, 8, the repeated backfilling and flushing results in the clean dry air and mixtures of argon, col. 3, lines 5-20.

4.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2832

5. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Kozlowski or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kozlowski. The claimed invention is as noted above where the repeated backfilling and flushing inherently results in the cleanliness claimed, col. 3, lines 5-20. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to backfill and flush until the desired cleanliness is obtained where repeated flushing for cleaning is disclosed, for the purpose of getting the air as clean as possible.

6. Claims 6-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonassen or Kozlowski, in view of Harada et al. The noted references disclose the claimed invention except for the lead wires being Dumet. Harada et al. discloses such a lead wire at col. 6 for the purpose of forming leads that penetrate glass. It would have been obvious in view of Harada et al. to form lead wires of Dumet for penetrating the glass of the references noted.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Howe does not disclose a discharge electrode on broadened tips, a pair of sealing spacers and housing. This is not correct as noted above, also no broadened tips are claimed. As to Jonassen, applicant argues that the substantially integrated relation between the sealing spacer and the lead terminal or the aspect of the shape of the electrode. There is no claim with these elements, and the elements are shown as noted. Applicant makes no clear distinction as to Kozlowski. As to the obviousness rejection, the motivation is as noted above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


Art Unit: 2832

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703) 308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Gellner, can be reached on (703) 308-1721. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.


KARL D. EASTHOM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
11/15/00